Protistan assemblages across the Indian Ocean, with a specific emphasis on the picoeukaryotes
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A B S T R A C T

Protists, and among them the picoeukaryotes (cells < 3 μm), have been described as significant contributors to both carbon biomass and production in oligotrophic regions of the oceans. However, protist assemblages remain largely undescribed in pelagic ecosystems and in particular in the Indian Ocean. In the present work, we investigated protists along an eastward transect across the sub-tropical gyre of the Indian Ocean (from South Africa to Australia), with a particular focus on picoeukaryotes. We combined inverted and epifluorescence microscopy, flow cytometry, pigment analysis, denaturing gel gradient electrophoresis (DGGE), 18S rDNA clone libraries, and fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH). Overall the picophytoplankton fraction contributed 88% and 90% of total Chl a at the surface and DCM, respectively, with picoeukaryotes accounting for 38% and 50% of total Chl a at the surface and DCM. Considering only the Indian South Subtropical Gyre (ISSG) province, we observed greater shifts in the picoeukaryotic assemblage throughout the upper 200 m of the water column than along the ca. 10,000 km cruise track. In terms of taxonomic diversity and contribution of each taxon to the picoeukaryotic community, prasinophytes were well represented at more coastal stations with the genus Micromonas reaching densities up to 750 cell mL−1 in coastal waters and less than 100 cell mL−1 at open ocean stations. Haptophytes (56% and 45% of picoeukaryotic pigments at surface and DCM, respectively) and possibly pelagophytes (28% and 40% of picoeukaryotic pigments at surface and DCM, respectively) appeared to be dominant at open ocean stations. Other groups and in particular organisms affiliated to chrysophytes, and to a lesser extent to cryptophytes, appear as clear targets for future qualitative and quantitative studies. Moreover, the occurrence of many sequences related to radiolarians (5% and 27% at surface and DCM, respectively) will require further investigation.

© 2008 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Protistan assemblages can be categorized according to conventional taxonomic classification or to size fractions (Sieburth et al., 1978). The importance of picoplankton...
(defined here as cells <3 μm) has been primarily emphasized in oligotrophic areas of the oceans, where they participate in nutrient remineralization (Azam et al., 1983) and may contribute up to 95% of the primary production (Raven, 1998). Among the three classically recognized groups of picophytoplankton, Prochlorococcus, Synechococcus, and picoeukaryotes, the latter usually have the lowest numerical abundance. Nevertheless, the importance of picoeukaryotes in terms of biomass and primary productivity has been demonstrated for various marine pelagic ecosystems (Li, 1994; Marañón et al., 2001; Worden et al., 2004). Early studies of photosynthetic picoeukaryotic diversity in the open ocean were based mostly on pigment analysis. These investigations suggested haptophytes and pelagophytes as significant components of the community, while green algae and other groups were less frequently observed (Ondrusek et al., 1991; Letelier et al., 1993; Andersen et al., 1996). Nevertheless, green algae and more specifically prasinophytes can be significant in particular locations or under certain conditions (Suzuki et al., 2002). Since 2001, molecular techniques have been applied to qualitatively describe the diversity of picoeukaryotes in the open ocean (Diz et al., 2001b; López García et al., 2001; Moon- van der Staay et al., 2001). Our first insights into the abundance and distribution of particular taxa (i.e. Micromonas pusilla, Prasinophytes) were obtained in coastal environments (Biégal et al., 2003; Not et al., 2004; Countway and Caron, 2006), and few data (qualitative or quantitative) are currently available from oligotrophic regions of the open ocean.

Although the Indian Ocean gyre is one of the largest oligotrophic areas of the world ocean, it has received far less attention than gyres of the northern Atlantic and Pacific Oceans. Only the Arabian Sea in the northwestern Indian Ocean (north of 10°S latitude), which is strongly influenced by monsoonal winds, has been subjected to several international interdisciplinary programs (e.g. the 1994–1996 Arabian Sea Expedition: Oceanic Response to Monsoonal Forcing; Smith et al., 1998). In those studies, picoplankton was shown to contribute 35–92% of phytoplanktonic chlorophyll a (Chl a) in transects from the coast towards the oligotrophic open ocean (Latasa and Bidigare, 1998; Brown et al., 1999). The abundance and contribution of picoeukaryotes to biomass was more important at coastal nutrient-rich stations than in oligotrophic areas, where Prochlorococcus dominated (Campbell et al., 1998; Latasa and Bidigare, 1998; Brown et al., 1999). Molecular approaches investigating the diversity of photosynthetic picoeukaryotes in the Arabian Sea demonstrated the prominent contribution of chrysophyte and prymnesiophyte algae (Fuller et al., 2006a,b). Extensive regions south of the Arabian Sea, including the oceanic gyre in the Southern hemisphere, remain poorly known.

In the present study we characterized the diversity of microbial eukaryotic assemblages along an eastward transect across the subtropical Indian Ocean gyre from South Africa to Australia. Because of the expected dominance of picoplankton in the planktonic community of oligotrophic areas, we focused our investiga-

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Sampling

The oceanographic cruise VANC10MV took place during late austral fall (15 May–13 June 2003) on board the oceanographic vessel R/V Melville ( Scripps Institution of Oceanography, UCSD). Fourteen stations were sampled to assess the diversity of phytoplankton along an eastward transect from Cape Town (South Africa), through the subtropical Indian Ocean, to Port Hedland (Australia) (Fig. 1 and Table 1). Temperature, salinity, and in situ fluorescence profiles were obtained by CTD casts at each station (Sea-Bird Electronics 911 Plus, Bellevue, WA). CTD sensor data were processed according to standard Sea-Bird recommendations for each instrument, and subsequently included in a 10-m-depth binned final data file. Vertical profiles of in vivo fluorescence were corrected for background offset and converted to Chl a concentration using a regression of extracted Chl a concentrations as measured by fluorometry against in vivo fluorescence at all depths and stations sampled (n = 83, slope = 0.66, R² = 0.77). Seawater samples were collected using 15 L Niskin bottles mounted on a rosette. At each station, five to seven depths were selected for sampling based on real-time hydrological and fluorescence profiles obtained from the CTD sensors. Three levels were consistently sampled: surface (5 m), the deep chlorophyll maximum (DCM), and the layer below the DCM (200 m). One or two additional samples were collected between the surface and DCM, one between the DCM and 200 m and one mesopelagic sample (650–1000 m depth). Seawater was pre-filtered through a 200 μm mesh prior to further analyses.

2.2. Flow cytometry (FCM)

Seawater samples (1.5 mL, total and <3 μm size fraction) were fixed with a mix of glutaraldehyde and paraformaldehyde (0.1% and 1% final concentration, respectively). Triplicate samples for each size fraction were subsequently deep frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C for long-term storage. Samples were processed using a FACSSort flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson, San José, CA), and cells enumerated following the protocol described by Marie et al. (1999).
2.3. Epifluorescence microscopy

Samples for epifluorescence microscopy were fixed with ice-cold glutaraldehyde (1% final concentration), stained with 4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI; 5 \( \mu \)g mL\(^{-1} \) final concentration) and filtered on 0.6 \( \mu \)m pore-size black polycarbonate filters. Filters were mounted on a slide with low fluorescence oil and kept frozen until laboratory enumeration of microorganisms, within one month after the cruise, using an Olympus BX61 microscope. Protists (unicellular eukaryotes) were discriminated from prokaryotes and counted by standard epifluorescence microscopy based on their blue fluorescence under UV excitation (Caron, 1983). Total protist counts were separated into two categories, heterotrophic and phototrophic cells, based on the absence or presence of red fluorescence from chlorophyll under blue light excitation. Moreover, organisms were classified into three size classes (<3, 3–5, >5 \( \mu \)m) by visual measurements using an ocular micrometer.

2.4. Inverted microscopy

Samples were fixed with hexamine-buffered formaldehyde (0.6% final concentration). Diversity and abundance of nano- and microplankton were determined using the Utermöhl technique (Utermöhl, 1958). Analysis was performed with an inverted microscope (Zeiss Axiosvert). In order to classify phytoplankton into ecological categories, diatoms were divided into <20 \( \mu \)m (nanoplanktonic) and >20 \( \mu \)m (microplanktonic) size groups. The latter group contained all chain-forming species. Coccolithophorids were divided into <10 and >10 \( \mu \)m cell size groups. Because of the size spectrum of coccolithophorids, a separation at 10 \( \mu \)m appeared more adequate. Autotrophic and heterotrophic species of dinoflagellates were divided into size classes of <20, 20–30, 30–50, and >50 \( \mu \)m, and ciliates were divided into size classes of <30, 30–50, and >50 \( \mu \)m.

2.5. Fluorescent in situ hybridization

Sample preparation (i.e. fixation and filtration) was performed on <3 \( \mu \)m pre-filtered sea water. Fluorescent in situ hybridization was performed either directly on board or in the laboratory, according to the procedure described in Not et al. (2002). The group-specific oligonucleotide probes used in this study were (1) a mix of EUK1209R, CHLO01, and NCHLO01 probes in order to target all eukaryotes; (2) probe CHLO02, specific for chlorophytes; (3) probe PRYM02, specific for haptophytes; and (4) probes MICRO01, BATHY01, and OSTRE001, which are specific for the genera Micromonas, Bathycoccus, and Ostreococcus (Mamiellales, Prasinophytae), respectively. Hybridized samples were observed under epifluorescence microscopy (Olympus BX51). Counts of hybridized cells were performed either from 15 randomly chosen ocular grids (when more than ca. 10 cells per 100 \( \times \)100 \( \mu \)m grid were observed) or from two transects across the section of filter observed (when cell densities were lower). Based on hybridization replicates, we estimated an error of 27\% (n = 24) for samples where more than 100 cells mL\(^{-1} \) were detected and of 65\% (n = 15) for samples where less than 100 cells mL\(^{-1} \) were detected. High-quality FISH hybridizations were prevented by a white precipitate (probably from the fixative) on the filters from stations 20 to 25 and, therefore, only results for stations 01–18 are presented.

2.6. Sampling for DNA and denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE)

Microbial organisms were collected on 0.2 \( \mu \)m Sterivex units (Millipore, Durapore) by filtering approximately 15 L of seawater through both a 3 \( \mu \)m pore-size polycarbonate pre-filter and the Sterivex unit in succession with a peristaltic pump, at filtration rates of 50–100 mL min\(^{-1} \). The Sterivex units were filled with lysis buffer (40 mM EDTA, 50 mM Tris–HCl and 0.75 M sucrose) and frozen.

Fig. 1. Cruise track and station locations during the eastward transect from South Africa (Cape Town) to Australia (Port Hedland), across the subtropical gyre of the Indian Ocean. Black dots and associated numbers indicate the stations sampled for the present study. Surface circulation is adapted from (Stramma and Lutjeharms, 1997): Agulhas Return Current (ARC), South Indian Ocean Current (SIOC), West Australia Current (WAC), South Equatorial Current (SEC), East Madagascar Current (EMC), Mozambique Current (MOC), and Agulhas Current (AC). Surface chlorophyll data for the sampled period can be retrieved at http://oceancolor.gsfc.nasa.gov/cgi/level3.pl.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stations</th>
<th>Coordinates south–east</th>
<th>Bottom depth (m)</th>
<th>Surface salinity (psu)</th>
<th>Surface temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Inverted microscopy&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>FCM&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>HPLC&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>DGGE&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; transect</th>
<th>DGGE&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; depth profile</th>
<th>Clone libraries&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>FISH&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>DAPI&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>epifluorescence counts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>35°03′–23°44′</td>
<td>711</td>
<td>35.37</td>
<td>20.89</td>
<td>5, 25</td>
<td>5, 25, 35</td>
<td>5, 25</td>
<td>5, 25, 35</td>
<td>100, 200, 650</td>
<td>5, 25</td>
<td>5, 25, 35</td>
<td>100, 200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>35°03′–24°34′</td>
<td>1472</td>
<td>35.36</td>
<td>24.04</td>
<td>5, 35</td>
<td>5, 35, 50</td>
<td>5, 35</td>
<td>5, 35, 50</td>
<td>100, 200</td>
<td>5, 35</td>
<td>5, 35, 50</td>
<td>100, 200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03</td>
<td>35°49′–32°02′</td>
<td>3010</td>
<td>35.68</td>
<td>21.23</td>
<td>5, 35, 75</td>
<td>5, 35</td>
<td>5, 35</td>
<td>5, 35, 75</td>
<td>100, 200</td>
<td>5, 35</td>
<td>5, 35, 75</td>
<td>100, 200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05</td>
<td>34°21′–37°41′&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5139</td>
<td>35.75</td>
<td>20.80</td>
<td>5, 50, 85</td>
<td>5, 85</td>
<td>5, 85</td>
<td>5, 85, 100</td>
<td>110, 200</td>
<td>5, 50, 85</td>
<td>5, 85, 100</td>
<td>110, 200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07</td>
<td>33°17′–45°21′</td>
<td>1244</td>
<td>35.73</td>
<td>20.91</td>
<td>5, 50, 85</td>
<td>5, 85</td>
<td>5, 85</td>
<td>5, 85, 100</td>
<td>110, 200</td>
<td>5, 50, 85</td>
<td>5, 85, 100</td>
<td>110, 200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09</td>
<td>31°49′–52°36′</td>
<td>4762</td>
<td>35.62</td>
<td>21.57</td>
<td>5, 75</td>
<td>5, 75</td>
<td>5, 75</td>
<td>5, 75, 120</td>
<td>120, 200, 800</td>
<td>5, 75</td>
<td>5, 75, 120</td>
<td>120, 200, 800</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>29°51′–59°50′</td>
<td>3482</td>
<td>35.68</td>
<td>21.76</td>
<td>5, 50, 100</td>
<td>5, 100</td>
<td>5, 100</td>
<td>5, 100, 150</td>
<td>120, 200</td>
<td>5, 100</td>
<td>5, 100, 150</td>
<td>120, 200, 150</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>22°05′–72°44′&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3806</td>
<td>35.27</td>
<td>24.12</td>
<td>5, 120</td>
<td>1, 120</td>
<td>1, 120</td>
<td>1, 120, 150</td>
<td>150, 200</td>
<td>1, 120</td>
<td>1, 120, 150</td>
<td>150, 200, 150</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>19°44′–78°09′</td>
<td>5230</td>
<td>34.67</td>
<td>25.84</td>
<td>5, 100</td>
<td>5, 100</td>
<td>5, 100</td>
<td>5, 100, 150</td>
<td>120, 200</td>
<td>5, 100</td>
<td>5, 100, 150</td>
<td>120, 200, 150</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>17°10′–83°46′</td>
<td>5646</td>
<td>34.94</td>
<td>25.24</td>
<td>5, 85</td>
<td>5, 85</td>
<td>5, 85</td>
<td>5, 85, 110</td>
<td>110, 200, 1000</td>
<td>5, 85</td>
<td>5, 85, 110</td>
<td>110, 200, 1000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>13°57′–89°55′</td>
<td>5035</td>
<td>34.23</td>
<td>27.43</td>
<td>5, 100</td>
<td>5, 100</td>
<td>5, 100</td>
<td>5, 100, 150</td>
<td>120, 200</td>
<td>5, 100</td>
<td>5, 100, 150</td>
<td>120, 200, 150</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>12°13′–96°47′&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1440</td>
<td>34.01</td>
<td>28.48</td>
<td>5, 75</td>
<td>5, 75</td>
<td>5, 75</td>
<td>5, 75, 110</td>
<td>110, 200</td>
<td>5, 75</td>
<td>5, 75, 110</td>
<td>110, 200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>13°11′–104°41′&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5792</td>
<td>33.87</td>
<td>27.56</td>
<td>5, 85</td>
<td>5, 85</td>
<td>5, 85</td>
<td>5, 85, 150</td>
<td>150, 200</td>
<td>5, 85</td>
<td>5, 85, 150</td>
<td>150, 200, 150</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>14°29′–113°27′</td>
<td>2804</td>
<td>34.27</td>
<td>27.66</td>
<td>5, 70</td>
<td>5, 70</td>
<td>5, 70</td>
<td>5, 70, 100</td>
<td>100, 200</td>
<td>5, 70</td>
<td>5, 70, 100</td>
<td>100, 200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Numbers given correspond to sampling depth (m).
at −70 C. Cell lysis was performed by digestion with lysozyme followed by proteinase K and SDS treatments. DNA was purified by phenol/chloroform extraction and concentrated with a Centricon-100 (Millipore) as previously described (Diez et al., 2001a). DNA integrity was checked by agarose gel electrophoresis, and nucleic acid extracts were stored at −70 C until analysis.

One microliter of DNA extract was used as template for PCR (polymerase chain reaction) amplification of a 560 bp (base pair) fragment of the 18S rRNA gene using primers Euk1A and Euk516r-GC (Diez, et al., 2001a). Denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis was carried out with a DGGE-2000 system (CBS Scientific Company) as previously described (Diez et al., 2001a). Gels of 6% polyacrylamide were prepared with a gradient of denaturant agent from 40% to 65% (100% denaturant agent being 7 M urea and 40% deionized formamide). Eight hundred nanograms of PCR product were loaded for each sample and the gel was run at 100 V for 16 h at 60 C in 1 × TAE buffer (40 mM Tris (pH 7.4), 20 mM sodium acetate, 1 mM EDTA). The gel was stained with SybrGold (Molecular Probes) and DNA fragments were visualized with a Fluor-S Multilamager (Bio-Rad). High-resolution images were analyzed with the software Quantity One (Bio-Rad) to detect DGGE bands, quantify their intensity, and identify the same band position across the lanes of the gel. A matrix was constructed with the presence and relative intensity of individual bands (logarithmically normalized) in each lane. This matrix was used to calculate a distance matrix (City-block distance method) and a dendrogram using Ward’s method in Statistica 6.0 (StatSoft, Inc.).

2.7. Gene clone libraries

The 18S rRNA genes were PCR amplified using the eukaryotic primer set EukA and EukB, which amplify the complete gene (ca. 1780 bp; Diez et al., 2001b). Polymerase chain reaction products from several reactions were pooled and cleaned with the QIAGEN PCR purification kit and cloned using the TOPO-TA cloning kit (Invitrogen). Presence of the 18S rRNA gene insert in positive colonies was checked by PCR amplification with the same primers. Clones with the correct insert size were sequenced directly using the Bigdye Terminator Cycle Sequencing kit v.3.0 (PE Biosystems) and an ABI PRISM model 377 (v. 3.3) automated sequencer using the internal primer Euk528f (Elwood et al., 1985). The basic phylogenetic affiliation of clones was obtained by BLAST search (Altschul et al., 1997). The comparison of BLAST search results carried out using distinct portions of each individual clone led to the identification of 31 chimeras out of 572 sequences. Sequences have been deposited in GenBank under accession numbers EU561664–EU562177.

2.8. HPLC pigment analysis

Phytoplankton composition was studied using pigment markers as indicators. Chl a, an indicator for total phytoplankton biomass, refers to the sum of monovinyl (MVChl a) and divinyl (DVChl a) Chl a throughout the paper. The Prochlorococcus contribution to Chl a was estimated directly as DVChl a, its pigment signature. The contribution of major groups to bulk MVChl a was quantified using Chemtax (Mackey et al., 1996). To obtain reliable results, Chemtax must be applied only to data in which pigment ratios within the different groups are invariant. In order to identify groups of samples with similar pigment ratios, we proceeded in the following way: (i) Pigment/Chl a ratios were calculated. (ii) The data were log transformed using the natural logarithm (ratios with a value equal to zero because pigment concentration was below the detection limit, were replaced by 1/3 of the minimum value for the whole data set prior to log transformation, in order to avoid undefined values). (iii) Cluster analyses were performed with the Statistica software package using the modified pigment/Chl a ratios of the following pigments: DVChl c3, Chl c2, peridinin, 19–butanoyloxyfucosanthin, fucosanthin, prasinoxanthin, violaxanthin, 19–hexanoyloxyfucosanthin, diadinoxanthin, alloxanthin, zeaxanthin and DVChl a (Ward’s method and City-block distances). Chemtax was applied to each of the three subsets of samples with linkage distances larger than 1000 as shown in Fig. 8 (St01 surface was added to the closest set) to obtain the contribution of seven phytoplankton groups to the bulk MVChl a: haptophytes, pelagophytes, prasinophytes, Synechococcus, cryptophytes, dinoflagellates, and diatoms.

Because zeaxanthin occurs in both Synechococcus and Prochlorococcus and only the former contribute to MVChl a, it is necessary to distinguish between ZeaxSyn and ZeaxPro. We partitioned Zeax as ZeaxFCM = Zeax/Syn × [Syn]FCM + Zeax/Pro × [Pro]FCM, where Zeax/Syn and Zeax/Pro are the Zeax content cell−1 of Synechococcus and Prochlorococcus, respectively, and [Syn]FCM and [Pro]FCM were the Synechococcus and Prochlorococcus cell concentrations obtained from FCM for the same sample. Initial values for Zeax/Syn and Zeax/Pro were estimated by minimizing ∑(ZeaxFCM − ZeaxSynCM)2 using the function Solver of Microsoft Excel in default mode (time = 100 s, iterations = 100, precision = 0.00001, tolerance = 5, convergence = 0.0001, linear estimation, progressive derivative, Newton’s method). We used 0.5 fg Zeax/Pro and 1.0 Zeax/Syn as seed values.

Chemtax was applied following the procedures described in Latasa (2007) using version 1.95 of Chemtax (S. Wright, personal communication). Random pigment to Chl a ratios between 0.1 and 1 were used as seed values of 16 input matrices. Chemtax ran using the following parameters: ratio limits = 500, initial step size = 10, step ratio = 1.03, epsilon limit = 0.000001, cutoff step = 3,000,000, iteration limit = 250 (only the first run, 120 for all others), elements varied = 12 (no. of pigments), sub-iterations = 1, weighting = bound relative (10, as defined in the program). The output of each run was used as input for the following run and this procedure was repeated three to four times. Another set of 16 random matrices was generated and run as the first set of matrices. This procedure was repeated twice more for a final result of 64 matrices. The median of each pigment ratio was incorporated to the final pigment ratio matrix. This matrix was then used to
estimate the contribution of the different groups to MVChl
a stock.

2.9. Taxonomic considerations

In order to avoid confusion and be consistent throughout the paper, we have chosen to use common adjectives to refer to the taxonomic groups investigated. However, those adjectives do not refer to a precise taxonomic classification. The correspondence between the terms used and the taxonomic groups defined recently by Adl et al. (2005) is presented in Supplementary Table 1.

3. Results

3.1. Hydrology, physical environment

The mean circulation of the Southern Indian Ocean is peculiar because of the geography of this basin. Unlike the Atlantic and Pacific Oceans, the Indian Ocean is completely closed on its north side and open on its east side, permitting water inflow from the equatorial Pacific, which significantly influences the hydrology of its southern sections (Reason et al., 1996). Important re-circulations from the Agulhas Return (ARC) and the South Indian Ocean (SIOC) currents are observed (Fig. 1). Based on temperature and salinity data (Fig. 2), two major

![Fig. 2. Depth sections of temperature (°C), salinity, and Chlorophyll a (µg L⁻¹) across the eastward transect from station 01 to 27 (only CTD cast, no discrete water samples were taken at the latter station). The data plotted are based on CTD data binned every 10 m. Depths sections were generated using Ocean Data View software (Schlitzer, 2007).](image-url)
oceanographic regions can be distinguished across our southwest to northeast transect. The first, south of 30°S and from station 01 to 11, is characterized by relatively low surface temperature (\(<23^\circ\)C) and homogeneous high salinity throughout the upper water column (35.5) (Fig. 2). Within this region, stations 01–03, closest to the African coast, are influenced by the Agulhas current (AC), while stations 05–11 are located in a zone of recirculation of the ARC (Fig. 1). The second region, including stations 14–27, presents a more stratified water column in terms of both temperature and salinity. Temperatures are high at the surface (\(>25^\circ\)C) and decrease rapidly below 50 m depth, whereas salinity is lower at the surface (34.25) and increases below 50 m. This second region is primarily influenced by the South Equatorial Current (SEC) and, to a lesser extent, by recirculation from the SIOC (Fig. 1).

Based on chlorophyll data, two distinct regions could also be identified, but their limit (i.e. between stations 03 and 05) differs from those defined by temperature and salinity. Relatively high chlorophyll concentrations were measured at the surface near the African coast (1.3 and 0.5) differs from those defined by temperature and salinity. Temperatures are high at the surface (\(<23^\circ\)C) and decrease rapidly below 50 m depth, whereas salinity is lower at the surface (34.25) and increases below 50 m. This second region is primarily influenced by the South Equatorial Current (SEC) and, to a lesser extent, by recirculation from the SIOC (Fig. 1).

3.2. Inverted microscopy

Except at coastal station 01, where abundances averaged 16,700 cells L\(^{-1}\) for diatoms, 9005 cells L\(^{-1}\) for dinoflagellates, 39,780 cells L\(^{-1}\) for coccolithophorids and 3680 cells L\(^{-1}\) for aloricate ciliates, concentrations of micro- and nanoplanktonic protists in our study were generally low (4068, 3300, 3223, 555 cells L\(^{-1}\)) on average for diatoms, dinoflagellates, coccolithophorids, and aloricate ciliates, respectively). Comparing abundances of all identified groups (i.e. diatoms, dinoflagellates, coccolithophorids, and ciliates), station 14 in the middle of the transect displayed the lowest concentration with an increase towards the western and eastern portions of the transect (Supplementary Tables 2 and 3). Microplanktonic diatoms were dominated by pennate species throughout the transect (Supplementary Table 2). Diatoms exhibited a clear pattern with highest concentrations in coastal waters, an order of magnitude less in the middle of the transect and a slight increase again towards Australia. The surface microplanktonic diatom assemblage at the near-coastal stations was dominated by the typical coastal bloom forming species Pseudo-nitzschia spp. and Chaetoceros subgenus Hyalecta spp. (Supplementary Table 4). The pattern of coccolithophorid abundances resembled that of the diatoms; they were more abundant at station 01, dominated by the bloom-forming species Emiliania huxleyi or Gephyrocapsa oceanica (coccolithophorids \(<10\mu m\)) (Supplementary Table 4). At open ocean stations, concentrations of coccolithophorids were lower by one order of magnitude and other species dominated the coccolithophorid assemblage (Supplementary Tables 2 and 4). In the other groups (dinoflagellates, aloricate ciliates, nanoplanktonic naked flagellates), the overall gradients described above could also be distinguished but less clearly.

In terms of higher level taxonomic groups, concentrations of diatoms, coccolithophorids, dinoflagellates, and ciliates showed no clear differences between surface and DCM. The only exception was micro- and nanoplanktonic diatoms at station 01, where concentrations at surface were much higher than at depth (Supplementary Table 2). In contrast, different species, or genera, of both microplanktonic diatoms and large (\(>10\mu m\)) and small (\(<10\mu m\)) coccolithophorids, dominated the surface and DCM assemblages at all stations (Supplementary Table 4). Microplanktonic dinoflagellate assemblages were always dominated by Gymnodinium and Gyrodinium spp. The inverted microscopy method precluded determination of species within these genera, and possible differences at that level could not be detected. The same holds true for aloricate ciliates.

3.3. Epifluorescence counts

Microscopic counts of small phototrophic and heterotrophic protists (PP and HP, respectively) were performed at several depths from selected stations (Table 1). Station 01 stood apart because it had no clear DCM and the highest PP numbers were observed at the surface (14 \(\times 10^3\) cells mL\(^{-1}\)). Therefore, it was not included in the computation of average values shown in Table 2 for three water layers: samples above (i.e. surface), at the DCM, and below the DCM (down to 200 m depth). As for HP (range 0.3–0.7 \(\times 10^3\) cells mL\(^{-1}\), PP abundances were remarkably constant (range 1–4 \(\times 10^3\) cells mL\(^{-1}\)) both above and at the DCM. The higher variability observed for PP below the

| Table 2 |
| Cell counts (cell mL\(^{-1}\)) and size fractionation of small protists (typically flagellated) under epifluorescence microscopy after DAPI staining |
| Phototrophic protist (PP) | Heterotrophic protist (HP) | % Protists |
| Mean | Range | Size fractions (%) | Mean | Range | Size fractions (%) | Mean | Range | Size fractions (%) | PP | HP |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Surface | 1140 | 560–1820 | 90 | 3 | 1 | 480 | 250–740 | 86 | 10 | 4 | 70 | 30 |
| DCM | 2930 | 1160–4620 | 94 | 5 | 1 | 400 | 280–490 | 85 | 12 | 3 | 87 | 13 |
| Below DCM | 630 | 40–1580 | 96 | 3 | 1 | 200 | 70–340 | 82 | 14 | 4 | 60 | 40 |
DCM was due to the heterogeneity of the samples considered (i.e. greater depth range, light and nutrient gradients). PP numbers were highest at the DCM, lowest below, and intermediate at the surface. In contrast, HP reached highest abundance at the surface and showed less variability than PP across the depth profiles. PP cells were always more abundant than HP, but their ratio varied significantly among the three water layers, being highest at the DCM and lowest below (Table 2). Epifluorescence counts also allowed an approximate estimate of the size structure of the protist community. These data showed constant trends with 85–95% of the cells in the size range of 2–3 μm, and very few cells larger than 5 μm (Table 2). This larger size fraction is better estimated by inverted microscopy (Supplementary Table 3).

3.4. Flow cytometry

Eukaryotes, *Prochlorococcus*, and *Synechococcus* were enumerated by flow cytometry across the transect (Fig. 3). Data shown correspond to non-fractionated seawater.

![Fig. 3. Depth sections for cell densities of *Prochlorococcus*, *Synechococcus*, and eukaryotes (cells mL⁻¹), from the total size fraction, assessed by flow cytometry across the eastward transect. Black dots correspond to actual sampling depths. Depths sections were generated using Ocean Data View software (Schlitzer, 2007).](image-url)
samples. Counts from 3 μm pre-filtered samples yielded very similar numerical values and distributional patterns, indicating that most cells inspected by flow cytometry were smaller than 3 μm. Indeed, chlorophyll-containing eukaryotes, Synechococcus, and Prochlorococcus in the <3 μm size fraction accounted for 92%, 96%, and 102% of cells from the total size fraction, respectively (data not shown). In addition, very good correlations were found between counts obtained by epifluorescence microscopy and the more extensive dataset analyzed by flow cytometry, both for phototrophic eukaryotes (n = 32, y = 0.9549x+293, R² = 0.94) and Synechococcus (n = 23, y = 0.9638x+528, R² = 0.99).

The first three stations were characterized by high abundances of phototrophic picoeukaryotes (> 1 x 10⁴ cells mL⁻¹ at surface) and Synechococcus (up to 4.7 x 10⁴ cells mL⁻¹) (Fig. 3). Between stations 05 and 11 we observed a continuous increase in Prochlorococcus cell densities (up to 2 x 10⁵ cells mL⁻¹) concomitant with a decrease of Synechococcus (down to less than 3 x 10³ cells mL⁻¹) (Fig. 3). Picoeukaryotic cell densities also decreased (down to 10⁴ cells mL⁻¹) from the African coast towards the subtropical gyre, and their maximal densities dropped from the surface to the DCM. In oligotrophic areas, Prochlorococcus reached maximum concentrations within the top 50 m of the water column (> 1.5 x 10⁵ cells mL⁻¹) and decreased sharply to abundances below 7.5 x 10⁴ cells mL⁻¹ between 50 and 100 m depth. Synechococcus were very scarce in this region, although a slightly higher abundance was noted at station 26. Picoeukaryotes were in low abundance at the surface and maintained constant maximal densities (ca. 1.5 x 10⁴ cells mL⁻¹) at depths between 75 and 125 m. We observed a moderate increase in cell numbers together with slightly less deep maxima at the eastern-most stations (23, 24, and 26) (Fig. 3).

3.5. Denaturing gel gradient electrophoresis

Spatial variability of the picoeukaryotic assemblages was analyzed by the fingerprinting technique DGGE of the 18S rRNA gene (Fig. 4). Changes in diversity along the transect were studied in separate gels for surface and DCM samples (Fig. 4A). In both cases, there was a gradual change in diversity along the transect, with the dominant shift in the middle of the transect, between stations 9 and 11 at surface and between 11 and 14 at DCM. The general trend shows that picoeukaryotic assemblages were relatively uniform in vast areas of the Indian Ocean at the same depth. Indeed, many DGGE bands were found in most open sea samples, indicating picoeukaryotic populations with large oceanographic distributions. In contrast, higher variability was observed between the two depths analyzed. This was clearly shown in a dendrogram combining both gels (Fig. 4A, right panel), using only the 14 bands (out of 32 bands at the surface gel and 36 bands at the DCM gel) that could be unambiguously assigned in both gels. These 14 bands were also the most intense, accounting for 80% of band intensity on average. The dendrogram showed a main separation in the middle of the transect and, remarkably, all surface samples from stations 9 to 24 in one cluster and all DCM samples from stations 14 to 24 in another cluster. Therefore, picoeukaryotic assemblages appeared more similar among surface (and DCM) samples ~5000 km apart (stations 14–24) than between surface and DCM samples at each station. This indicates a clear distinction of surface and DCM waters in terms of picoeukaryotic community composition.

In order to study changes along the vertical profile in greater detail, we completed additional DGGE analyses with six depth samples at four selected stations along the transect (Fig. 4B). At all stations investigated there was a clear shift in the picoeukaryotic assemblages across the water column. Although some DGGE bands were found across the depth profile, samples above the DCM were similar. Nevertheless, one can notice the gradual shift among the surface, the DCM and deeper samples. This emphasizes the importance of the vertical gradient in picoeukaryotic diversity with a clear distinction of surface, DCM, and mesopelagic waters.

3.6. 18S clone libraries

Based on the DGGE analysis, we selected eight samples (stations 01, 09, 18, and 23, at two depths: surface and DCM located at 25, 74, 85, and 75 m, respectively) to prepare clone libraries of the 18S rRNA genes representative of protists smaller than 3 μm. The two libraries from station 01 yielded few clones and are presented together. In fact, as previously mentioned, station 01 did not have a clear DCM and both samples (surface and 25 m) were characterized by very similar DGGE fingerprints. Between 52 and 139 sequences were analyzed for each of the seven libraries (541 sequences in total). A Blast analysis of these sequences (Supplementary Table 5) revealed that six phylogenetic groups accounted for 75% of the total sequences in all libraries: dinoflagellates, marine alveolates I and II, marine stramenopiles (MASTs), prasinophytes, and radiolarians (Fig. 5A). These sequences were highly related to environmental sequences retrieved in other oceans, including the Pacific, the Atlantic, and the Arctic and Mediterranean Seas. The average similarity with the closest Blast sequence match within these six groups was 98.7%, 99.1%, 97.0%, 98.6%, 99.6%, and 97.2%, respectively. Marine alveolate II and radiolarian sequences from the Indian Ocean are slightly more distinct from already known sequences than the other taxonomic groups. The coastal library was unique in having an important contribution of prasinophyte sequences. As previously observed in the DGGE analysis, the phylogenetic diversity of the taxa detected (at the level of major groups) was rather similar among the open ocean samples from the same depth layer, whereas surface and DCM samples were more different (Fig. 5A). Most apparent were the higher contribution of radiolarian and prasinophyte sequences and the lower contribution of marine alveolates I in the DCM libraries.

Up to 25% of sequences from each library did not belong to any of these six major groups and their
These less well-represented groups accounted for a significant fraction of the genetic diversity in the clone libraries analyzed. Chrysophytes, bicosoecids, ciliates, and apusozoans yielded more than 10 sequences, whereas other well-known marine groups are represented by a smaller number of clones. Notable is the relatively high number of sequences (22) with uncertain affinities to any particular group, not even to a main eukaryotic supergroup, using Blast search. Complete sequencing of these clones, together with extensive and careful phylogenetic analysis, would be necessary to achieve a better estimate of their putative phylogenetic affiliation.

3.7. Pigment analysis

Phytoplankton pigments were measured by HPLC on surface and DCM samples for three size fractions: total, >3 μm, and <3 μm. Total Chl a in the surface was much higher at stations 01–03 than for the rest of the transect (Fig. 6), where concentrations remained around 0.120 μg L$^{-1}$ (± 0.045 S.D.). As expected, the pigment composition of the largest size fraction (>3 μm) could be attributed almost exclusively to eukaryotes (96%). On average, the pico-size fraction (<3 μm) contributed to 89% of the total Chl a (88% at surface and 90% at DCM), highlighting the importance of the smallest size fraction of primary
producers along the transect. The picophytoplankton contribution was comparable in coastal (84% on average for stations 01–03) and oceanic (90% on average for stations 05–26) environments (Fig. 6). At the surface, picoeukaryotes contributed 38% to the total Chl a, 54% at stations 01–03 and 33% at stations 05–26. At the DCM, this contribution increased to 50% on average, being 57% at stations 01–03 and 48% at stations 05–26.
Fig. 7. Distribution of total Chl a among eukaryotic picoplankton of six phytoplankton groups, as distinguished by their pigment suites. Bars represent absolute Chl a concentration attributed to each picoeukaryotic group after Chemtax (see text for details) and the shadowed areas their relative contribution to total picoeukaryotic Chl a.
Using Chemtax we investigated the relative contributions of six major eukaryotic chemotaxonomic groups (haptophytes, pelagophytes, prasinophytes, cryptophytes, dinoflagellates, and diatoms) to Chl a in the three size fractions (Fig. 7). Because of the strong dominance of the pico-size fraction in pigment biomass, results obtained for the total fraction matched the pattern observed in smaller cells. Actual values of characteristic pigments (ng L$^{-1}$) for each taxonomic group considered for the total size fractions is presented in Supplementary Table 6. At both depths and all stations across the transect, haptophytes and pelagophytes were clearly the dominant eukaryotic pigment groups (Fig. 7). Prasinophytes made a significant contribution (~20% of the picoeukaryotic fraction of Chl a) at the more eutrophic coastal stations 01–03, decreasing to 5% in the more oligotrophic open sea stations. Cryptophytes also displayed a similar pattern but their contribution was lower than that of the prasinophytes (6% at surface and 9% at DCM). As expected, the contribution of dinoflagellates and diatoms was low in the picoplanktonic fraction because of the typically large size of these organisms. However, within the pico-size fraction, one can notice their higher contribution in surface in the central part of the transect. Overall, these two groups were present mostly in the large size fraction near the African coast, where they contributed up to 15% of total Chl a. According to their pigment signature, samples clustered according to depth rather than to location especially for the most oceanic stations 07–24 (Fig. 8).

3.8. Fluorescent in situ hybridization

The distribution of protists detected by general eukaryotic probes matched the distribution obtained from flow cytometry (Figs. 3 and 9A). Cells detected by the chlorophytes probe were more abundant at coastal stations, where they always reached abundances in excess of 2000 cells mL$^{-1}$ and up to 8900 cells mL$^{-1}$ in the photic zone of stations 01–03, and contributed up to 51% of total picoeukaryotes detected by FISH at these stations (70% at surface) (Supplementary Table 7, Fig. 9A). In the oceanic region (stations 05–18), chlorophyte densities were much lower (typically <500 cells mL$^{-1}$) and contributed on average to 19% of total picoeukaryotes (10% at the surface and 24% at the DCM) (Fig. 9A and Table 3). Along the transect, haptophytes were most abundant in the upper water column, in particular above 75 m (Fig. 9A). Although their abundance decreased from the coast to the gyre, they always contributed a significant portion of the picoeukaryotic community of the upper water column in oligotrophic areas (14% at the surface, up to 36% at 45 m depth at station 09). In contrast, their contribution was lower at the DCM (on average 8% of picoeukaryotic cells, Table 3).

Within the chlorophyte division, we estimated the contribution of three Mamiellales (prasinophytes) genera, namely Micromonas, Bathycoccus, and Ostreococcus. As for chlorophytes, and more generally for total picoeukaryotes, we observed high abundance of the genus Micromonas at coastal stations (>750 cells mL$^{-1}$, 19% of total picoeukaryotes at station 01, surface). As waters became more oligotrophic, a rapid decrease in abundance was observed with maxima always below 100 cells mL$^{-1}$ at all depths in the gyre (Fig. 9B). The genus Bathycoccus was characterized by lower abundance (max 200 cells mL$^{-1}$), but displayed a spatial pattern similar to that of Micromonas along the transect (Fig. 9B). At coastal stations, maximum abundances for Bathycoccus were observed at slightly lower depths than for Micromonas (Fig. 9B). Finally, the genus Ostreococcus was always found at low densities (<100 cells mL$^{-1}$). Maximal densities of Ostreococcus deepened towards oligotrophic waters following the DCM trend (Fig. 9B).

4. Discussion

4.1. Picoplankton contribution to phytoplankton biomass and its distribution

The analysis of size fractionated samples with different techniques showed that photosynthetic cells from the pico-size fraction were numerically dominant and had the highest contribution to Chl a biomass throughout the transect (Figs. 3 and 6 and Table 2). This observation agrees with most studies that performed size fractionated analyses in various open ocean ecosystems. For instance, in a study including temperate, subtropical, equatorial and
Fig. 9. Depth sections of picoeukaryote densities (cells mL\(^{-1}\)) estimated by TSA-FISH along the transect (stations 01–18). (A) All picoeukaryotes targeted by a mix of eukaryotic probes (top), cells targeted by the chlorophyte-specific probe (middle), and cells targeted by the haptophyte-specific probe (bottom). (B) Cell density estimates for the Micromonas (top), Bathycoccus (middle), and Ostreococcus (bottom) genera (chlorophytes, prasinophytes, Mamiellales). Black dots correspond to samples. Depth sections were generated using Ocean Data View software (Schlitzer, 2007).

Table 3
Average contribution (%) of taxonomic groups across the transect performed and according to the technique used

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>01–03 surface</th>
<th>05–26 surface</th>
<th>05–26 DCM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HPLC</td>
<td>Clone libraries</td>
<td>FISH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haptophytes</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorophytes/prasinophytes</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pelagophytes</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dinoflagellates</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diatoms</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cryptophytes</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine alveolates</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiolarians</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MASTs</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cryptophytes</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciliophora</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other phototrophs</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other heterotrophs</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undetermined groups</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Estimates obtained by HPLC correspond to the contribution to picoeukaryotic Chl \(\alpha\). Values for clone libraries are contribution to total number of sequences encountered in the picoplankton size fraction. Data for FISH are contribution to total picoeukaryotes cells enumerated by FISH (picoplankton size fraction). (–) Averaged data not available.
upwelling environments, picoplankton contributed most to total Chl a (Marañón et al., 2001). This was particularly true for equatorial and subtropical waters in which picoplanktonic organisms accounted for 80% of total Chl a, and 60–70% of primary productivity (Marañón et al., 2001). Another study presenting data from a cruise conducted in the Arabian Sea in the inter-monsoon period (March–April 1995) indicated that 85% of total Chl a passed through a 2 μm filter (Latasa and Bidigare, 1998). Our values are somewhat higher (around 90%), likely because we performed the size-fractionation through 3 μm instead of 2 μm.

The patterns of abundance and distribution observed for the two cyanobacterial genera and for picocyanobacteria from flow cytometry and pigment analyses are in agreement with previous data. Prochlorococcus was dominant in oligotrophic areas, while picocyanobacteria and Synechococcus usually co-varied and contributed a higher proportion at the more “coastal”, nutrient-rich stations (Zubkov et al., 1998; Worden and Not, 2008). Recent observations made along longitudinal cruise tracks passing through large ecological provinces such as the Pacific Equatorial Divergence Province (PEQD) and the South Pacific Subtropical Gyre Province (SPSG) showed little variation with respect to the contribution of these three picoplanktonic components within the same province (Dandenneau et al., 2006), as reported here. In contrast, latitudinal ocean basin scale cruises such as the Atlantic Meridional Transects (AMT), which passed through several contrasting ecological provinces, identified more pronounced variations in the picoplankton community structures (Zubkov et al., 1998; Marañón et al., 2001).

Picoeukaryotes contribute significantly to global primary productivity (Li, 1994; Worden et al., 2004). Surprisingly, the composition of picoeukaryotic assemblages can be remarkably constant over broad oceanographic regions (Diez et al., 2004). Indeed our data show that, on the horizontal scale, picoeukaryotes from the Indian Ocean consist of two distinct major assemblages containing members of a variety of phylogenetic groups. Results from the various techniques applied (e.g. clone libraries, HPLC, FISH) show that the most striking differences in biomass and community composition occurred between the first three stations and the oceanic stations. These regions correspond to two of the ecological provinces defined by Longhurst (1998), namely the Eastern Africa Coastal (EAFR) province and the Indian South Subtropical Gyre (ISSG) province. Considering the latter, apparently, homogeneous province, one can observe greater variation in the picoeukaryote assemblages vertically in the upper 200 m of the water column than horizontally across the whole transect (ca. 10,000 km). This is particularly notable in results from the clone libraries (Fig. 5), pigment analyses (Fig. 8), and DGGE fingerprinting (Figs. 4B).

4.2. Diversity and distribution of major picoeukaryotic taxa

Despite the occurrence of general patterns in the community composition and distribution, such as the important contribution of prasinophytes in coastal environments, and of haptophytes and pelagophytes in the open ocean (Table 3), important discrepancies were observed between techniques for particular taxonomic groups.

4.2.1. Haptophytes

Our pigment analyses suggested that haptophytes were important throughout the transect and particularly in oligotrophic waters (Fig. 7), in agreement with previous work (Ondrusek et al., 1991; Bidigare and Ondrusek, 1996). For example, in the equatorial Pacific, haptophytes contributed 30–40% of Chl a biomass in the upper 100 m of the water column (Mackey et al., 1998). At station ALOHA, off Hawai‘i, haptophytes contribute 22% of total Chl a biomass at the DCM (Letelier et al., 1993). In a study examining haptophytes by electronic microscopy, haptophyte contributions to total abundance of photosynthetic eukaryotes were in the same range, from 10% to 50%, along a vertical profile (Andersen et al., 1996). In contrast, our clone libraries recovered only a few haptophytes sequences (Fig. 5) in agreement with the molecular data of Moon-van der Staay et al. (2000) in the Equatorial Pacific. Higher GC content of the 18S rDNA gene in haptophytes may prevent consistent PCR amplification (C. de Vargas, personal communication). Similarly, the contribution of haptophyte cells observed by FISH was lower than from pigment analysis (Table 3). These discrepancies could be due to the fact that the cellular concentration of pigments varies according to environmental parameters and physiological status (Falkowski and LoRoche, 1991; Mackey et al., 1998) or that haptophyte cells may be slightly larger than other picoeukaryotes (e.g. prasinophytes, see Fig. 6 in Not et al., 2005) and therefore have higher pigment content.

4.2.2. Chlorophytes and prasinophytes

Cells belonging to the chlorophyte division represented 70% of the cells detected by FISH in surface waters of stations 01–03. Accordingly, prasinophytes were very important at coastal stations, and were observed to contribute ~20% of picoeukaryotic Chl a in pigment analysis of surface waters (25% in surface water at station 01). All techniques suggested a contribution decreasing from the EAFR province towards the ISSG province (Table 3). The lower abundance of prasinophytes in the open ocean as compared to coastal waters has been previously observed in studies using pigment analysis (Letelier et al., 1993; Andersen et al., 1996; Carreto et al., 2003), electron microscopy (Thomsen and Buck, 1998), and FISH (Not et al., 2005). With respect to vertical distribution in the ISSG province, pigment analysis showed a rather constant contribution between surface and DCM samples, while both FISH and clone libraries found more green algae at the DCM (Table 3).

The pattern observed for Micromonas (Fig. 9B) matched that observed for chlorophytes (on average Micromonas accounted for 28% of chlorophytes cells), confirming the ubiquity and significant contribution of this genus as a key eukaryotic species in some marine ecosystems (Thronsd, 1976; Thomsen and Buck, 1998; Not et al., 2005). However,
the average contribution of Micromonas to picoeucaryotes is markedly lower than at very coastal sites, such as in the English Channel off Roscoff, where it represented on average 45% of picoeucaryotic cells throughout the year (Not et al., 2004). Although present in low abundance in the Indian Ocean, Ostreococcus maintained a constant maximal cell density at depth, which deepened with the DCM. The observed distribution may be partially explained by the presence of Ostreococcus strains adapted to low light level (Rodriguez et al., 2005).

4.2.3. Pelagophytes and chrysophytes

Our results for pelagophytes were somewhat similar to those of the haptophytes: pigment signatures indicated high abundance (Fig. 7) but very few sequences were retrieved in clone libraries from the corresponding samples. Pelagophytes were initially isolated from the pelagic environment (Andersen et al., 1993), and since then have been described as significant components of pelagic ecosystems based on pigment studies (Bidigare and Ondrusek, 1996; Latasa et al., 1997; Suzuki et al., 1997; DiTullio et al., 2003). However, one should note a certain confusion when dealing with chrysophytes and pelagophytes, as some of the researchers working with pigment signatures use the term chrysophyte sensu lato to refer to organisms presenting 19- butanoyloxyfucoxanthin, a pigment typically ascribed to pelagophytes (Mackey et al., 1996; Wright and Jeffrey, 2006). The lack of photosynthetic marine chrysophytes in culture prevents characterization of their pigment signature and, consequently, proper discrimination from pelagophytes and diatoms. In contrast to the few pelagophyte sequences retrieved in the Indian Ocean clone libraries, we found a significant number of chrysophyte sequences. Most of these form novel lineages (Supplementary Table 5) of uncertain trophic mode (i.e. photosynthetic or heterotrophic). Chrysophyte sequences have also been observed in recent surveys (Fuller et al., 2006a; Worden and Not, 2008), suggesting a significant role for this group in pelagic ecosystems. The actual contribution of pelagophytes and chrysophytes to picoeukaryotic communities in the open ocean could be resolved by FISH techniques, but reliable probes are not yet available for these groups.

4.2.4. Other phytoplanktonic groups

We observed a significant pigment contribution of cryptophytes within the pico-size fraction, especially at the DCM throughout the transect. A few small cryptophytes (around 4 x 6 μm in size) were seen under epifluorescence microscopy, accounting for up to 1–3% of phototrophic cells. Their larger size (but likely passing through the 3 μm filter because of their oblong shape) could explain in part their larger contribution using pigment analysis against microscopic counts. The few cryptophyte sequences in our clone libraries all came from the first stations (Table 3); thus, the precise taxonomic affiliation of these open ocean cryptophytes remains unresolved.

According to inverted microscopic observations, diatoms and dinoflagellates were dominated by cells smaller than 20 μm but bigger than 3 μm (Supplementary Tables 2 and 3). The pigment signature for both groups was observed in most picoplanktonic samples and their contribution to picoeukaryotic Chl a was higher in the gyre. Diatom pigments could have come from bolidophytes or small pennates, which could have passed through a 3 μm filter along their smallest dimension and would be more abundant in the gyre than in the more coastal domain. Alternatively, cell breakage during prefiltration is possible for both diatoms and dinoflagellates. In contrast to pigment analyses, clone libraries retrieved neither diatom nor bolidophyte sequences but a large number of dinoflagellate sequences (10–20% of sequences retrieved). However, as is observed for a significant fraction of the micro-nano-plankton (Sherr and Sherr, 2000), we cannot exclude that the dinoflagellates from the pico-size fraction are heterotrophic, which would prevent detection using pigment techniques.

4.2.5. Non-photosynthetic organisms

In our study, a significant proportion of sequences (at least 25%) from each library affiliated to marine alveolates (potentially mostly parasites; Grosillier et al., 2006; Dolven et al., 2007) and MAST (being largely free-living bacterivorous flagellates; Massana et al., 2006). These sequences are closely related (more than 97% similarity) to environmental sequences retrieved in other oceans, including Pacific (Moon-van der Staay et al., 2001), Atlantic (Not et al., 2007), Arctic (Lovejoy et al., 2006), and Antarctic (López García et al., 2001), confirming the cosmopolitan distribution of these taxa.

In the Indian Ocean, radiolarian sequences were particularly abundant in DCM libraries (Fig. 5A). In oceanic samples, the radiolarians (typically microplanktonic protists) were well represented in clone libraries from the pico-size fraction, and mainly observed at the DCM (Yuan et al., 2004; Marie et al., 2006), or even at greater depths (Countway et al., 2007; Not et al., 2007). The actual size and ecological function of the organisms linked to these sequences remain currently unresolved (see Not et al., 2007 for discussion).

4.3. Methodological considerations and further investigations

The multi-technique approach allowed overall trends to be established, despite the limitations of each technique (Table 3). For example, the current pigment ratios used in Chemtax are probably well adapted for micro- and nano-phytoplankton but may need some fine-tuning when examining picoplankton for which cultures are still often lacking. Moreover, the characterization of minor pigments should be developed in order to increase the discrimination among phytoplankton groups (Latasa et al., 2004).

A notable bias towards specific protist groups, in particular heterotrophic ones, is regularly observed in clone library genetic surveys (Vaulot et al., 2002, Table 3). Part of the explanation could come from the significant variation in the copy number of the 18S rRNA gene between different taxa (Zhu et al., 2005), such that...
organisms with more copies are more readily detected following PCR. Other factors influencing DNA accessibility (e.g. the toughness of the cell membrane) might account for the differences observed between auto- and heterotrophic organisms as well. Strategies currently developed to target more specifically photosynthetic picoeukaryote diversity include building clone libraries from flow cytometrically sorted populations (Shi and Marie, personal communication), targeting plastid genes (Fuller et al., 2006a), or using taxon-specific sets of primers (Viprey et al., 2008). Moreover, clone libraries based on environmental rRNA instead of DNA could give access to the actively growing part of assemblages (Stoeck et al., 2007).

In the present work, 70% of cells could not be identified by the chlorophyte and haptophyte FISH probes used. This highlights the need to develop a more comprehensive set of probes. Moreover, since FISH counts provide absolute cell abundance together with some estimation of cell size and shape, this approach would be helpful in obtaining information for groups such as radiolarians, pelagophytes, chrysophytes, or marine alveolates. Finally, quantitative PCR would be valuable to establish large-scale trends along with fine spatial resolution of particular species or ecotypes (Johnson et al., 2006), allowing us to understand much better their distribution along horizontal and vertical gradients.
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